



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/092,792	03/07/2002	Marcel J.G. Janssen	2001B031A	2963
23455	7590 04/20/2004	EXAMINE		INER
EXXONMO	BIL CHEMICAL COM	LANGEL, WAYNE A		
P O BOX 214	9 TX 77522-2149		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
<i>Bi</i> 1110 Wiv,	171 77322 21 15		1754	

DATE MAILED: 04/20/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



The said of the sa

## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Weshington, D.C. 20231

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR FILING DATE SERIAL NUMBER

SERIAL NUMBER   FILING SALE		
	EXAMINER	
	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	DATE MAILED:	
This is a communication from the examiner in charge of your application. COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS		
Responsive to communication filed on		This action is made final.
This application has been statistically application	dove from	n the date of this letter.
This application has been examined Responsive to communication filled on A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire month(s) Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the application to become abandons and the period for response will cause the application to become abandons are part of this action:	oned. 35 U.S.C. 133	
		est Drawing Review, PTO-948.
1. Notice of References Cited by Examiner, PTO-892.  2. N	lotice of Draftsman's Pat lotice of Informal Patent	ent Drawing Review, PTO-948. Application, PTO-152.
A Usant PTO-1449.	lotice of informal activi	···
Information on How to Effect Drawing Change		
Part II SUMMARY OF ACTION		_ are pending in the application.
1. Claims	are	withdrawn from consideration.
Of the above, claims		_ have been cancelled.
2. Claims		are allowed.
3. Ctalms		are rejected.
3. Claims		are objected to.
4. Claims  5. Claims  6. Claims  1 4 7	are subject to restric	ction or election requirement.
6. Claims	ch are acceptable for exa	amination purposes.
7. This application has been filed with informal drawings under 37 o	Oil and accel.	
Pormal drawings are required in response to this Office action.	Hador 2	7 C.F.B. 1.84 these drawings
The corrected or substitute drawings have been received on	s Patent Drawing Heviev	1, F 10-545).
are acceptable; not acceptable (see explanation of Notice of	has (have) be	en approved by the
The proposed additional or substitute sheet(s) of drawings, filed on		
The proposed additional of substitute sheets?  examiner;   disapproved by the examiner (see explanation).  has been fine.	Tapproved; ☐ disappro	oved (see explanation).
examiner; disapproved by the examiner (see explanation)  11. The proposed drawing correction, filed	contified conv has D be	een received

12. Acknowledgement is made of the claim for priority under 35 U.S.C. 119. The certified copy has been received not been received been filed in parent application, serial no. \_\_\_\_\_\_\_; filed on \_\_\_\_\_\_.

13. Since this application apppears to be in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in

accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.

14. Other

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. § 121:

- I. Claims 2-18, drawn to a silicoaluminophosphate molecular sieve, classified in Class 423, subclass 327.1.
- II. Claims 19-26, drawn to a process for making an olefin product, classified in Class 585, subclass 350.
- III. Claims 27-36, drawn to a silicoaluminophosphate molecular sieve, classified in Class 423, subclass 327.1.
- IV. Claims 37-42, drawn to a method for preparing a molecular sieve, classified in Class 423, subclass 327.1.

Claim 1 link(s) inventions I, II and IV. The restriction requirement among the linked inventions is subject to the non-allowance of the linking claim(s), claim 1. Upon the allowance of the linking claim(s), the restriction requirement as to the linked inventions shall be withdrawn and any claim(s) depending from or otherwise including all the limitations of the allowable linking claim(s) will be entitled to examination in the instant application. Applicant(s) are advised that if any such claim(s) depending from or including all the limitations of the allowable linking claim(s) is/are presented in a continuation or divisional application, the claims of the continuation or divisional application may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims

of the instant application. Where a restriction requirement is withdrawn, the provisions of 35 U.S.C. § 121 are no longer applicable. See *In re Ziegler*, 44 F.2d 1211, 1215, 170 USPQ 129, 131-32 (CCPA 1971). See also MPEP § 804.01.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product, such as one which does not include the details as recited in claims 2-18.

The inventions of Groups I and III are separate and distinct since neither invention would be obvious over the other, since the Group I claims require that the molecular sieve have at least one intergrown phase of molecular sieves having AEI and CHA framework types, which is not required by the molecular sieve of the Group III claims, and the Group III claims require the specifically recited X-ray diffraction pattern as recited in

claim 27, which is not required by the Group I claims. Claim 7 is separate and distinct from claims 27-36 since claims 1-6 and 8-18 are evidence that claim 7 does not depend upon the details of claim 27 for patentability.

Inventions I and IV are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different products, such as ones which do not possess the details as recited in claims. 2-18. Inventions II and III are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the process as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product, such as one which does not include the details as recited in claims 27-36.

Inventions II and IV are related as process of making and

process of using the product. The use as claimed cannot be practiced with a materially different product. Since the product is not allowable, restriction is proper between said method of making and method of using. The product claim will be examined along with the elected invention (MPEP § 806.05(i)).

Inventions III and IV are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the process as claimed can be used to make a materially different product, such as one which does not include all the details as recited in claims 27-36.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in

compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Wayne A. Langel whose telephone number is (571) 272-1353. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8 A.M. to 3:30 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stanley Silverman, can be reached on (571) 272-1358. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either private PAIR or public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Serial No. 10/092,792

Art Unit 1754

WAL:cdc

April 16, 2004

WAYNE A. LANGEL PRIMARY EXAMINER